

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 KIMBERLY SIMMONS,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 GO DADDY, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.
9

Case No. 2:17-cv-00867-APG-NJK

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**


(ECF. No. 3)

10 On March 28, 2017, Magistrate Judge Koppe entered a report and recommendation that I
11 dismiss this complaint as frivolous. Simmons did not file an objection but she filed an amended
12 complaint.

13 Because Simmons did not object, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the
14 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
15 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
16 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
17 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
18 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)). I have reviewed the amended
19 complaint and it does not cure the deficiencies identified in the report and recommendation.

20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation (ECF
21 No. 3) is accepted. The complaint (ECF No. 1-1) and amended complaint (ECF No. 4) are
22 dismissed. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

23 DATED this 5th day of May, 2017.

24
25 
26 ANDREW P. GORDON
27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28